HOSPITAL AND UNIVERSITY AREA: PAY & DISPLAY CHARGING AND MINOR CHANGES - OBJECTIONS TO TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

<u>APPENDIX 1 - Summary of letters of support and objections received to Traffic Regulation Order</u>

UPDATED: 26/02/19 10am Consultation Ends: 28/02/19

Street/Summary	Objections/support/comments received.
	Summary of responses:
	Objections - 1, Support - 4, Comment - 9, Mixed Response - 0.
1) Resident, Support	I live at [REDACTED] Erleigh Road and under the current arrangements we have no residents parking. I
	support the proposals to amend the parking to include residents parking. However I think that pay and display
	parking should remain as Mon-Friday 8-5.30. Free parking at weekends does give people the opportunity to
	attend St Luke's Church in Erleigh Road or visit family and friends in the RBH without having to pay.
2) Resident, Support	Approve.
3) Resident, Comment	I do not think that it is necessary to extend the parking restrictions on Erleigh Road west of Alexandra Road so
	that payment is required at weekends. This area never becomes full of parked cars at weekends so it appears
	to be introducing a parking charge to address a problem that does not exist. The negative impact would be on
	parking here used by visitors to the hospital and visitors to local residents at the weekends who would have to
	pay to park here if these new restrictions were implemented. I would remind the council that the purpose of
	parking restrictions is for traffic management purposes not as a fund raising form of taxation. This point has
	been tested in court. Unless the Council is able to provide evidence that there is a traffic management issue that is being addressed by these proposed restrictions, it can be assumed that the purpose of them are to
	raise additional funds and is therefore and illegal use of statutory powers.
4) Resident, Comment	The reasons given for the amendments is "in the interests of safety or in response to demand"
	The reasons given for the amenanents is in the interests of safety of in response to demand
	1) The introduction of parking restrictions will not improve the safety of many roads. Indeed, since the
	introduction of parking restrictions on Kendrick road more cars speed. Thus the safety reasons is not
	legitimate.
	2) I am not aware of any demand to increase the hours of the parking restrictions. Indeed I understand many
	people to be vehemently opposed to them. Weekends are when residents are more likely to have visitors and
	the current arrangements with freely available parking at weekends enables them to have visitors at that
	time. Extending the parking restrictions to the weekends may limit visitors to some of the more vulnerable
	members of society - which would be a very undesirable consequence. Given that there does not appear to be

	any safety or demand reasons to increase the hours of the parking restrictions it seems to be driven by the need to increase council revenue. The council may need to increase revenue, but if so it should be done equally across the entire town rather than simply selecting one part which is effectively taxed again! Use of the bowling club on Kendrick road would be restricted were these weekend parking restrictions to be introduced. It seems wrong to restrict use of a (much loved) social and sports facility for no benefit. I am very frustrated that this is the second consultation on a very similar proposal in as many years. Do we have to keep registering the same concerns?!
5) Resident, Comment	is, therefore, probably more appropriate for residents of Addington Road who live on the affected section to comment on these changes. However, as an observation, it would seem sensible to increase the amount of pay and display in that section of Addington Road as there aren't a large number of residents in that section. If the is to happen, then maybe the section of Addington Road to the east of Alexandra could become wholely residents only rather than mixed use.
6) Resident, Support	I welcome the proposal to amend the parking restrictions in Addington Road to enable people to park there without payment or permit between 5.30pm and 8am.
7) Resident, Comment	 My only comment on the changes outlined is that permitting parking on both sides of Kendrick Road opposite each other will lead to traffic congestion and delays. My concern is that the restrictions in parts of Alexandra Road (particularly directly outside my property) are not being changed in line with most of the other roads. Specifically permits will still be required for residents overnight. The particular sections of Alexandra Road which concern me are (a) all of the northernmost section, between London Road and Erleigh Road; (b) most (the northerly part) of the section between Erleigh Road and Addington Road. This particularly affects me because you refuse to give me a Resident's Permit despite the fact that I live on the [REDACTED] with, as I said, resticted sections immediately in front of my living room window. Also, I believe that the property next door ([REDACTED], Alexandra Road) does have Resident's Permit(s), even though it has space for 2 or 3 cars in its driveway, which my property does not have.
8) Resident, Comment	

	weekend will cause myself and my family additional stress and abuse from the general public. I would like a
	member of the committee to view this problem with me.
9) Resident, Comment	We, Marlborough Avenue do not seem to be included in this and seem to be the only road around here with no place where our weekend friends can park without two permits being issued if a Sunday lunch at one pm is the order of the day. We have asked counsellors on several occasions to address this but nothing has happened.
10) Resident, Support	I fully support the introduction of a resident parking scheme in Kendrick Road. The current proposals would assist the residents of Kendrick Court. The court is made up of 20 flats all of which are at least 2-bedroom apartments, however the 1930's nature of the building space means there are only a dozen or so parking spaces for cars on site and off-road. As a result, residents need a place to park and although the grounds are extensive they can't be updated or changed from the current layout as it's a understandably a conservation area.
11) Resident,	I am a property owner at Kendrick Court, on Kendrick Road, and as with other residents in the Court I formally
Comment	request that the Council considers introducing a residents parking scheme for Kendrick Road. Please do not
	introduce a solely Pay & Display status WITHOUT thinking of local residents who pay their council tax.
	Requiring residents to Pay & Display for parking outside their own homes is both finanically and logistically
	challenging; paying £10 or more a day to park near our homes is extremely difficult. Furthermore, it does not
	reflect the fact that as Reading Council Tax payers, we are being subjected to the same conditions as non-
	Reading visitors. We do not have sufficient parking in our Court to allow all residents to park here - and the
	conservation area status of the area prevents us from increasing the amount of off-road parking. Nearby roads
	(eg Morgan Road, Upper Redlands Road) have successful residents parking schemes. Overall allowing us to
	participate in a residents parking scheme, for a court of 23 apartments, would not have a material effect on
	the spaces available. I would be willing to pay an annual (or monthly) fee for a residents parking permit if
	such a scheme were to be introduced.
12) Resident,	Proposed parking restrictions amendments to Kendrick road are both dangerous and un necessary. The
Comment/Objection	proposed parking to be added to the corner of Allcroft road presents a major hazard it is located on the
	immediate exit of the junction from Allcroft to Kendrick and sits within non permissible distances from a
	turning corner. In addition this proposed parking causes road width restrictions to public and vehicles it will
	not be wide enough for busses to pass safely and will cause severe congestion adding additional hazard to
	cyclist pedestrian and vehicles clearly the proposal has not been viewed measured or considered by any
	person whom is qualified to so do. This should be rejected on the grounds of health and safety. In addition
	living at [REDACTED] Kendrick Road we have marked and paced out the proposed positionin and this will
	cause major hazard for entering and reversing to our private property with sever risk of injury and harm. All
	other restriction increases to Kendrick road are in necessary and an affront to the local amenity preventing

	use of vital amenity such as Reading bowls club and to Christchurch particularly on sundays when shoppers and others are at a low ebb and do not use the road for parking. The council members should be ashamed of this proposal reducing community amenity which it is their responsibility to uphold and maintain and improve for wits local residents. How many times do residents have to reject these proposals and be heard.
13) Resident,	As a resident of Marlborough Ave, I would like to ask, why despite repeated requests representations to our
Comment	local councillors, NO changes are being made to the restrictions in our road. There are no provisions for
	visitors to park at all over the weekend, which is far more restrictive than other roads in our area. Despite
	being told that we could change the parking conditions if we didn't like them, the council seems to be totally
	unwilling to listen to repeated requests to do so.
14) Resident,	Why not extend the pay an display time to the evenings and over night to allow people visiting the hositipal ,
Comment	Local schools, like abbey / kendrick Reading etc and ST lukes church and hall some where to legally park.
	The majority of park and display places are empty in the evening and Weekends so it would not affect
	residents needing parking spaces. I see no need to make the North side of Allcroft road no parking on
	Sundays, as few is any one parks there and it they do it slows down the cars racing at up to 70 mph along the
	roads so that good is it not?